

# Interview Summary

Application No.  
08/620,211

Applicant(s)

Purvis et al.

Examiner

Andrea Chop

Group Art Unit  
3509



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Andrea Chop (PTO)

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Clifford Rey (Applicant's Rep)

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview 5/14/97 and 5/15/97

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:  
None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:  
Applicant called in regards to the Double Patenting Rejection in the Office Action of 3/3/97: Applicant brought to the Examiner's attention that FWC Application 08/755,596 (Parent Application was 08/421,858) and the present Application 08/620,211 are commonly owned by Mr. Purvis (the common inventor between the two Applications). Attached is a printout showing the common ownership. Applicant was informed that this evidence would obviate a double patenting rejection under 102(f)/103 or 102(g)/103; the Applicant was also informed that filing a terminal disclaimer would obviate the remaining double patenting rejection. As an alternative, Applicant was informed that by amending the claims to claim subject matter patentably distinct from the parent Application and not subject to a double patenting rejection, i.e., Claims 4, 5, etc. would obviate any double patenting rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

*Andrea Chop 5/15/97*

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

**Interview Summary**

Application No.

08/620,211

Applicant(s)

Purvis et al.

Examiner

Andrea Chop

Group Art Unit

3509



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Andrea Chop (PTO)

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Clifford Rey (Applicant's Rep)

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Jun 27, 1997Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Called attorney - no fee was received for the Terminal Disclaimer filed 6/9/97. Applicant does not have a deposit account, and will therefore send out a check for the fee.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

*Andrea Chop 6/27/97*

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.